



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box.1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

|                 |            |                             | •                    |                                     |      |  |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FI         | LING DATE                   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO |      |  |
| 09/823,940      | 03/30/2001 |                             | Robert Martin        | 0102374-00015                       | 7591 |  |
| 21125           | 7590       | 07/20/2004                  |                      | EXAMINER                            |      |  |
|                 |            | NEN & FISH LLP<br>ITER WEST | PATEL, HARESH N      |                                     |      |  |
| 155 SEAPO       |            |                             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER                        |      |  |
| BOSTON,         | MA 0221    | 0-2604                      |                      | 2154                                |      |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  | , ·  |             |
|---|--|--|-------------|
|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | (A)         |
|   | 09/823,940   | MARTIN ET AL.  | 4           |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   | <del></del> |
|   | Haresh Patel   | 2154   |             |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | pears on the cover sheet   | with the correspondence address -  | •           |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may ly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) N e, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed  thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communical  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ation.      |
| Status  |  |  |             |
| <ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 A</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowated in accordance with the practice under <u>B</u></li> </ol>  | s action is non-final.<br>ance except for formal m   | • •  | s is        |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |             |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | wn from consideration.   |  |             |
| Application Papers  |  |  |             |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.  | cepted or b) objected<br>drawing(s) be held in abe<br>ction is required if the drawi   | vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12  |             |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |             |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | nts have been received.  Its have been received in prity documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | n Application No en received in this National Stage  |             |
| Attachment(s)   | _  |  |             |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | Paper N  | w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |             |

Application/Control Number: 09/823,940 Page 2

Art Unit: 2126

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-40 are presented for examination.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-40 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
  - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 12, 16, 17, 20, 21-25, 28-30, 32, 37-40, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Dodrill et al. 6,490,564 (Hereinafter Dodrill), as per paper number 8.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

ij.,

Application/Control Number: 09/823940

Art Unit: 2126

6. Claims 2-11, 13-15, 18, 19, 26, 27, 31, 33-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dodrill in view of Danne et. al. 6,226,286 (Hereafter Danne), as per paper number 8.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 4/28/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (1) Dodrill does not disclose "a compiled representation of a call feature or service that is described, textually, by a mark-up language ... There is no suggestion that such representations could or should by compiled, e.g., by an XML parser/compiler, or otherwise".

The examiner disagrees in response to applicant's arguments. Dodrill teaches use of XML parser (e.g., figure 7). Therefore Dodrill meets the claimed limitation.

Applicant argues (2) Dodrill does not disclose, "a compiled representation could be accessed by an instantiated object in response to an event, and that a compiled representation, so accessed, could be used by such a context object to effect execution of an operation defined by the original textual description". The examiner disagrees in response to applicant's arguments. Dodrill teaches use of the XML documents that define user interface logistics and tie services and application server events together in a meaningful way, forming a coherent application or sets of applications, The application server 66 the application server 66 generates new XML documents during runtime and supplies the generated XML documents to the web server 64, col. 9, line 1 – col. 13, line 19. Also refer the rejection of paper number 11, Therefore Dodrill meets the claimed limitation.

Application/Control Number: 09/823.940

Art Unit: 2126

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haresh Patel whose telephone number is (703) 605-5234. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 10:00 am to 8:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached at (703) 305-8498.

The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2126

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Haresh Patel

June 24, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100